Evidence for host–microbiome co-evolution in apple
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Introduction

The evolution of plants has occurred with diverse microbial communities inhabiting their tissues (Yeoh et al., 2017; Delaux & Schornack, 2021). Collectively called the plant microbiome, these microorganisms fulfill important functions for their host’s health by manipulating its gene expression, hormonal pathways and increasing its tolerance to biotic and/or abiotic stresses (Berg et al., 2020). Empirical evidence shows that the phenotypic expression of host traits is due to the combined genetic expression of the host and the host-associated microbiome (Matsumoto et al., 2021; Ravanbakhsh et al., 2021).

The long and intimate history of plant–microbiome associations, the importance of host genetics in shaping the microbiome, and the profound effect that microorganisms have on the traits of their hosts indicate that plants and their associated microorganisms are co-evolving (Krings et al., 2007; Delaux & Schornack, 2021). Such co-evolutionary dynamics may be reflected in a tendency of closely related plant species to host similar microbial communities, also known as phyllosymbiosis (Brucker & Bordenstein, 2013; Theis et al., 2016; Mazel et al., 2018). Phyllosymbiosis has been demonstrated in several plant groups, with stronger phyllosymbiotic patterns for endophytic than epiphytic or rhizosphere-associated microorganisms (Boufaud et al., 2014; Schlaeppi et al., 2014; Vincent et al., 2016; Mazel et al., 2018; Mendes et al., 2018; Abdullaeva et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020). These observations were suggested to be governed by two mutually nonexclusive mechanisms, namely heritability and inheritance (Peiffer et al., 2013; Beilsmith et al., 2019). Heritability refers to how the host genotype affects the assembly of the plant microbiome from the environment (Beilsmith et al., 2019; Wagner, 2021), and inheritance refers to the microbial community that is vertically transmitted to subsequent generations via seeds (Beilsmith et al., 2019; Abdelfattah et al., 2021b; Wagner, 2021). In this context, it is important to understand the impact of domestication on the co-evolutionary dynamics between plants and their microorganisms, and test whether phyllosymbiotic patterns extend from the phylogeny of wild species to their domesticated progenies.

Domestication and breeding history can have a major impact on the diversity, abundance, and composition of the plant microbiome. Some domesticated plants were found to have a distinct microbial community composition and a lower capacity to interact with microbial symbionts, as compared to their wild relatives.
The majority of studies have shown a decrease in microbial species diversity with domestication (Bulgarelli et al., 2015; Coleman-Derr et al., 2016). However, others have reported increased diversity, e.g. in lettuce, cereal seeds (wheat and barley) and common bean (Cardinale et al., 2015; Abdullaeva et al., 2020), or no effect as in the case of wheat and sunflower (Leff et al., 2017; Spor et al., 2020). Among domesticated plants, phyllosymbiosis has been observed, for example in Poaceae roots, seeds, and rhizosphere (Bouffaud et al., 2014; Abdullaeva et al., 2020; Favela et al., 2021). Moreover, recent studies on microorganisms of breeding lines showed that hybrid plants share a large fraction of their bacterial community with their parents as for example in the case of Cucurbita seeds and apple shoot endophytes (Adam et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Kusstatscher et al., 2021a). Yet, it is unclear if the proportional contribution of the microbiome from parents to offspring correspond to amount of genetic material contributed by each parent during breeding and/or domestication.

One particularly suitable system to explore the impact of domestication on the diversity and composition of the microbiome, as well as phyllosymbiosis, is apple. Apple (Malus × domestica), was primarily domesticated about 4000–10 000 yr ago from Malus sieversii (Ldb.) Roem, whose center of origin is the Tian Shan Mountains of Central Asia. Apple germplasm thereafter moved westwards by people traveling along the Silk Route (Cornille et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2017), during which time other Malus species, such as M. prunifolia (Willd.) Borkh in Asia, M. orientalis Uglitz. in the Caucasus, and M. sylvestris Mill. in Europe contributed to the genome of M. domestica through introgressive hybridization (Cornille et al., 2014; Volk et al., 2015; Volk, 2019). The domestication of apple has resulted in thousands of cultivars with large fruits, high yield, firm flesh, and high sugar content (Duan et al., 2017). Heirloom cultivars are older varieties for which genetic pedigree information is lacking, are known to have existed for long periods of time but have not necessarily served as founding genotypes for the more modern cultivars that have been developed. The microbiome of domesticated apple is highly diverse, and has been shown to be affected by plant genotype, management practices, soil composition, postharvest treatments, geographical location, and health status (Shade et al., 2013; Abdelfattah et al., 2016, 2020, 2021a; Shen et al., 2018; Wassermann et al., 2019a,b; Cui et al., 2021; Whitehead et al., 2021). Little is known, however, about the composition of the endophytic microbiome of wild Malus species or the impact of domestication on the microbiome of M. domestica and its many cultivars.

The current study examined the endophytic microbial communities of Malus species collected from the United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) Apple Germplasm Repository in Geneva, NY, USA. The common garden setup was used to overcome geographical variation in environmental factors, such as soil properties, environmental microbiome, and climatic conditions. The study focused on endophytes, since they are less likely to be environmental contaminants than epiphytes and are expected to have the most intimate relationship with their host (Hardoim et al., 2015). The microbial communities were identified using amplicon sequencing and their abundance was quantified by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). The aims of the study were to:

1. Investigate the effect of apple domestication on the diversity, abundance and composition of the endophytic microbial community by comparing domesticated apple to wild progenitor and wild Malus species, and by comparing heirloom and modern cultivars
2. Determine whether phyllosymbiotic patterns can be observed among Malus species
3. Determine the origin of the M. domestica microbiome by estimating the proportional contribution of wild Malus species to domesticated apple

Regarding species diversity, richness, and evenness, we assumed three scenarios to be equally likely during apple domestication (Scenarios 1–3 in Fig. 1). A reduction in species interactions has been associated with domestication, which would result in a less diverse microbiome in M. domestica than in wild Malus species (Mutch & Young, 2004; Kiers et al., 2007; Leff et al., 2017; Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2018; Porter & Sachs, 2020; Favela et al., 2021). Yet, it is unclear if the proportional contribution of the microbiome from parents to offspring correspond to amount of genetic material contributed by each parent during breeding and/or domestication.

One particularly suitable system to explore the impact of domestication on the diversity and composition of the microbiome, as well as phyllosymbiosis, is apple. Apple (Malus × domestica), was primarily domesticated about 4000–10 000 yr ago from Malus sieversii (Ldb.) Roem, whose center of origin is the Tian Shan Mountains of Central Asia. Apple germplasm thereafter moved westwards by people traveling along the Silk Route (Cornille et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2017), during which time other Malus species, such as M. prunifolia (Willd.) Borkh in Asia, M. orientalis Uglitz. in the Caucasus, and M. sylvestris Mill. in Europe contributed to the genome of M. domestica through introgressive hybridization (Cornille et al., 2014; Volk et al., 2015; Volk, 2019). The domestication of apple has resulted in thousands of cultivars with large fruits, high yield, firm flesh, and high sugar content (Duan et al., 2017). Heirloom cultivars are older varieties for which genetic pedigree information is lacking, are known to have existed for long periods of time but have not necessarily served as founding genotypes for the more modern cultivars that have been developed. The microbiome of domesticated apple is highly diverse, and has been shown to be affected by plant genotype, management practices, soil composition, postharvest treatments, geographical location, and health status (Shade et al., 2013; Abdelfattah et al., 2016, 2020, 2021a; Shen et al., 2018; Wassermann et al., 2019a,b; Cui et al., 2021; Whitehead et al., 2021). Little is known, however, about the composition of the endophytic microbiome of wild Malus species or the impact of domestication on the microbiome of M. domestica and its many cultivars.

The current study examined the endophytic microbial communities of Malus species collected from the United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) Apple Germplasm Repository in Geneva, NY, USA. The common garden setup was used to overcome geographical variation in environmental factors, such as soil properties, environmental microbiome, and climatic conditions. The study focused on endophytes, since they are less likely to be environmental contaminants than epiphytes and are expected to have the most intimate relationship with their host (Hardoim et al., 2015). The microbial communities were identified using amplicon sequencing and their abundance was quantified by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). The aims of the study were to:

1. Investigate the effect of apple domestication on the diversity, abundance and composition of the endophytic microbial community by comparing domesticated apple to wild progenitor and wild Malus species, and by comparing heirloom and modern cultivars
2. Determine whether phyllosymbiotic patterns can be observed among Malus species
3. Determine the origin of the M. domestica microbiome by estimating the proportional contribution of wild Malus species to domesticated apple

Regarding species diversity, richness, and evenness, we assumed three scenarios to be equally likely during apple domestication (Scenarios 1–3 in Fig. 1). A reduction in species interactions has been associated with domestication, which would result in a less diverse microbiome in M. domestica than in wild Malus species (Mutch & Young, 2004; Kiers et al., 2007; Leff et al., 2017; Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2018; Porter & Sachs, 2020; Favela et al., 2021) (Scenario 1 in Fig. 1). Secondly, introgressive hybridization during the domestication of apple may have been accompanied by the introgression of the microbiome, resulting in higher diversity in M. domestica (Cardinale et al., 2015; Abdullaeva et al., 2020) (Scenario 2 in Fig. 1). Lastly, the microbiome may have been reshuffled during domestication, without an increase or decrease in the number of species (Scenario 3 in Fig. 1). Importantly, these scenarios are not mutually exclusive, and processes within each scenario could counteract or complement each other. Similar scenarios are expected to play out in the case of heirloom and modern cultivars. We also expected to find a strong correlation between the phylogenetic distance among Malus species and the community composition of their associated microbiomes (Bouffaud et al., 2014; Schlaeppi et al., 2014; Vincent et al., 2016) (Fig. 1). Specifically, we anticipated (1) the M. domestica microbiome to be more similar to its wild progenitors, especially to its main ancestor M. sieversii, than to wild, nonprogenitor species; (2) a correlation between the genetic distance of wild species of North American and Asian origin and their microbial community composition; and (3) that the introgression events consisted of both genetic and microbial contributions, which together shaped the microbiome of the domesticated apple (Fig. 1).

Materials and Methods

Plant material and experimental design

Samples were collected from an orchard of the Apple Germplasm Repository of the USDA-ARS, located in Geneva, NY, USA, to limit the effects of geographical location or climate on the microbiome. The orchard is characterized by high lime developed soil on glacial till with an average annual
temperature of 9.4°C and rainfall of 89 cm. The selection of accessions was based on chloroplast haplotypes classification reported by Volk et al. (2015), in which the accessions were grouped into three clades: North American, Asian, and M. domestica admixture (Supporting Information Table S1). The present experiment was set up to include 61 apple accessions from 11 Malus species, representing three major groups: (1) domesticated apple cultivars (M. domestica), (2) wild progenitors of domesticated apple, and (3) nonprogenitors wild Malus species that did not contribute to apple domestication. While both the wild progenitors and the nonprogenitors represent wild apple species, for the purpose of simplicity and readability, we will use the term ‘wild’ only for the nonprogenitor species. The domesticated apple was represented by 18 accessions, representing seven heirloom cultivars (Fenouillet Gris, Miron Sacharanij, Coat Jersey, Landsberger Reinette, Borowitsky, Taylors, Fillbare) and six modern cultivars (Delicious, Golden Delicious, Honeycrisp, Northern Spy, Splendour, Frostbite). Apple wild progenitors included M. sieversii (nine accessions), M. sylvestris (three accessions), M. orientalis (seven accessions) and M. prunifolia (six accessions). Lastly, the wild Malus species consisted of M. kansuensis (three accessions), M. pratii (three accessions), M. yunnanensis (three accessions), M. angustifolia (three accessions), M. ioensis (three accessions), and M. coronaria (three accessions). The majority of the collected accessions were originally planted as seeds, self-rooted cuttings or grafted onto EMLA7 (Table S1).

Collection and processing of samples
Shoots, c. 7–10 mm in diameter and 15 cm in length, were collected on November 2018 from current-year growth. Four shoots of every accession were surface sterilized with 5% sodium hypochlorite (v/v) and rinsed three times in sterile water. The bark was removed with a sterile razor, as described in Liu et al. (2018). After peeling the bark, shoots were cut into 0.5–1.0 cm segments, and transferred to 50-ml Falcon tubes with 40 ml sterile double distilled water (ddH2O) and shaken horizontally at 200 rpm for 30 min. The suspension was then transferred to centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 12 000 g for 30 min. Pelleted solutions were used for DNA extraction with DNAeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. DNA quality and integrity were assessed using a NanoDrop UV-spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Inc., Grand Island, NY, USA). The same DNA extracts were used for amplicon library preparation and qPCR measurements.

Library preparation and sequencing
Library preparation and sequencing was conducted as previously described (Abdelfattah et al., 2020). Briefly, bacterial 16S rDNA region was amplified using the universal primers 515F and 806R in conjunction with peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) added to reduce the amplification of plant chloroplast and mitochondrial sequences. For fungal amplification, internal transcribed spacer...
(ITS) amplicons were produced using ITS3/KYO2 and ITS4 primers along with a custom-designed blocking oligo designed to inhibit amplification of host apple sequences. For prokaryote 16S and fungal ITS amplicon generation, PCR reactions were conducted in a total volume of 25 μl containing 12.5 μl of KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), 1.0 μl of each primer (10 μM), and 2.5 μl of DNA template. For the 16S amplification, 2.5 μl of mitochondrial PNA (5 μM), 2.5 μl of plastid PNA (5 μM), and 3 μl nuclease-free water, and in ITS amplification 1.0 μl of blocking oligo (10 μM) and 7 μl nuclease-free water were added.

Reactions were incubated in a T100 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 95°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 78°C for 5 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. For fungal (ITS) amplicon generation, at 95°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s and concluding with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Library preparation following amplicon PCR was performed as specified in the Illumina 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation guide as outlined in conjunction with the use of a Nextera Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) containing 96 indexes. Subsequent library size, quality, and confirmation of the absence of adapter dimers was checked on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Paired-end sequencing of amplicons was done on an Illumina MiSeq (Illumina) sequencer with a V3 600-cycle Reagent Kit (Illumina).

Bioinformatic and statistical analyses

Demultiplexing, quality trimming of low-quality reads and creation of amplicon sequence variants (ASV) were done using the default parameters in DADA2 algorithm as integrated in Callahan et al. (2016) and Bolyen et al. (2019). Taxonomic assignment of the ASVs was done using BLAST algorithm against the SILVA 138 and UNITE databases for 16S and ITS reads, respectively (Abarenkov et al., 2010; Quast et al., 2012). MetagenomeSeq’s cumulative sum scaling (CSS) (Paulson et al., 2013) was used to account for uneven sequencing depth and then used for downstream analyses including Bray–Curtis dissimilarity metrics (Bray & Curtis, 1957), hierarchical clustering analysis and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). The rarefy_even_depth function implemented in the R package PHYLOSEQ v.1.32.0 was used to resample the ITS and 16S ASV tables to an even library size of 5000 and 1000, respectively. The rarefied tables were then used to calculate fungal and bacterial diversity using Shannon index (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013).

To evaluate the effect of domestication on the richness, evenness, diversity, and composition of the fungal and bacterial community, we modeled each response variable as a function of the fixed effect ‘domestication group’ (domesticated, wild progenitor and wild species) and species identity. Models with the univariate response variables were implemented using the function aov in R Packagestats v.4.0.1 in R v.3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2020). Group means were compared using Tukey multiple comparisons of means.

Community composition was modeled using the function adonis2 (PERMANOVA) in the package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2007). Pairwise comparisons were made using Pairwise Multilevel Comparison R package pairwiseadonis (Arbizu, 2019). To understand whether the change in microbial community composition is due to species turnover or species loss or gain, we calculated community dissimilarity using binary Jaccard dissimilarity index for each domestication group and then partitioned the calculated indexes into species turnover (BJTU) and species gain or loss (BJNE) (Baselga & Orme, 2012). To understand the effect of domestication on the plant core microbiome, we first calculated the core microbiome after transforming the ASV table into compositional data, keeping ASVs present in at least 70% of all samples within each domestication category, i.e. _M. domestica_, wild progenitors, and wild species, using microbiome R package v.1.10.0 (Leo Lahti & Shetty, 2017). We then compare the number of fungal and bacterial ASVs among the three domestication groups to determine if they all share the same core species, increase, or decrease along the chronosequence of _M. germplasm_.

To quantify the relationship between _Malus_ phylogeny and its microbiome (Brooks et al., 2016), we first used hierarchical clustering based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity distances with ‘average’ as the clustering method. This was performed using hclust in R package stats v.4.0.1 and the results were visualized using _fiziz_den_ function in the R package factoextra v.1.0.7 (Bray & Curtis, 1957; Kasambara & Mundt, 2020). Second, to calculate phylogenetic distances among _Malus_ species, the ITS regions of the investigated species in addition to _Pyrus communis_ as outgroup, were retrieved from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm (list of accessions with alignment is available in Table S2), and the phylogenetic distance was inferred by using the neighbor-joining tree estimation in R package phangorn (Saitou & Nei, 1987; Schliep, 2010). To quantify the congruence between _Malus_ phylogeny and the microbiome, the topologies of the constructed dendrograms of the fungal and bacterial community were compared to the phylogenetic tree of _Malus_ species, using Princentest in vegan (Peres-Neto & Jackson, 2001).

To estimate the potential contribution of _Malus_ species to the microbiome of domesticated apple, we used SourceTracker2, a Bayesian approach originally developed to estimate the environmental sources of the microbial community (Knights et al., 2011). In this analysis, each _Malus_ species was assigned as a potential source and _M. domestica_ was assigned as the only sink. Both sources and sink were rarefied to 1500 reads per sample.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Bacterial and fungal abundance was measured by qPCR using the primer pairs ITS1–ITS2 for fungi (White et al., 1990) and 515F–927r for bacteria (Köberl et al., 2011). Reaction mixtures contained 5 μl KAPA SYBR Green, 0.5 μl (10 μM each) of each primer, 1 μl template DNA, adjusted with PCR-grade water to a final volume of 10 μl. A Rotor-Gene 6000 real-time rotary
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Nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test was used to determine the efficiency and linear range of the assay. Intermitting runs were conducted, and standard curves were constructed to test correction (Kruskal & Wallis, 1952).

Results

Sequencing results and the microbiome composition of Malus species

MiSeq sequencing yielded a total of 5813 667 ITS and 609 147 16S high-quality reads after the removal of chimeras, plant chloroplast and mitochondria sequences, and low-quality reads. The clean reads were assigned to 2593 ITS fungal ASVs and 2688 bacterial ASVs. The number of sequences varied among samples, ranging from 81 147 to 1902 518 ITS reads and 10 578 to 187 894 16S reads. The fungal community was dominated by Ascomycota (71.2%) and Basidiomycota (27.0%), in which the genera Aureobasidium (45.4%), an unidentified genus of Pleosporaceae (13.0%), and Filobasidium (9.2%), prevailed. The bacterial community was dominated by Proteobacteria (82.9%), Actinobacteria (10.5%), and Bacteroidetes (2.8%). The predominant genera were Sphingomonas (23.5%), Pseudomonas (15.5%), and Methylobacterium-Methylorubrum (15.0%) (Fig. S1).

The effect of domestication on the microbial community

Fungal species richness and diversity differed significantly among Malus domestica, wild progenitors, and wild species (P = 0.0048 and P = 0.0012, respectively). Both richness and diversity were significantly higher in domesticated apple (M. domestica) and its wild progenitors than in wild species (Fig. 2a,b; Table S3). These estimates correspond also to absolute abundance of fungal gene copies numbers, measured via qPCR: endophytes of domesticated apple (P < 0.001) and its wild progenitors (P = 0.04) were significantly more abundant than those of wild apples, while no difference was found between progenitor and domesticated species (Fig. S2a; Table S4). The fungal community composition differed significantly among domesticated apple, progenitor species, and wild species (R² = 0.052, P = 0.001) (Fig. 2c), which was confirmed by pairwise comparisons (Table S4). Partitioning of beta diversity indicated that species turnover was the dominant factor in the differences observed in the fungal communities of wild, progenitor, and domesticated species (Fig. S2).

In contrast to fungi, bacterial species richness, evenness, and diversity did not differ among the domesticated apple, progenitor species, and wild species (Fig. 2d; Table S3). However, domestication had a significant effect on the bacterial community composition (R² = 0.059, P = 0.002) (Fig. 2e). Pairwise comparison showed that nonprogenitor wild species differed significantly from both wild progenitors and domesticated apples (R² = 0.047, P = 0.003, R² = 0.062, P = 0.003), whereas the comparison between wild progenitors and domesticated apple showed no significant differences (R² = 0.029, P = 0.123) (Table S4). Measurements of qPCR, however, revealed bacterial endophytes to be significantly less abundant in wild species compared to domesticated apples (P < 0.001); no difference in bacterial abundance was observed between the other domestication groups (Fig. S2b).

The apple core microbiome (defined as ASVs present in 70% of the replicates of each domestication group), comprised 18 fungal and 12 bacterial ASVs (Fig. 3c). These core taxa represented a high fraction of the total microbial community, measured via qPCR, with ASVs annotated to Sphingomonas, Pseudomonas, Methylobacterium and Aureobasidium being most abundant among all apple groups. Core fungi accounted for 75%, 62%, and 63% of total ITS copy numbers detected in wild, progenitor, and domesticated apple, respectively. Abundance of core bacteria was slightly less for the three domestication groups, representing 48%, 45%, and 49% of total 16S rRNA gene copy numbers, respectively. In addition to those shared ASVs, six bacterial ASVs were unique to the wild species core microbiome, three bacterial and one fungal ASVs were unique to progenitor species, and three bacterial and 18 fungal ASVs were only detected in the domesticated apple core microbiome. The fraction of the unique core taxa in wild species was < 0.01% for fungi and 4.2% for bacteria, in progenitor species it was 0.2% for fungi and 1.2% for bacteria, and in domesticated apple it was 21% for fungi and 2.6% for bacteria.

Within M. domestica, heirloom and modern cultivars did not differ significantly in their species richness (fungi: P = 0.999 and bacteria: P = 0.681) nor Shannon diversity (fungi: P = 0.832 and bacteria: P = 0.474) (Fig. 2). This was also true regarding community composition of their core microbiomes (fungi: R² = 0.047, P = 0.499 and bacteria: R² = 0.022, P = 0.869). Despite the lack of statistical differences, a hierarchical analysis revealed a clear distinction between the modern and heirloom cultivars, with two exceptions (Splendour and Miron Sacharanji), for both the fungal and bacterial core communities (Fig. 3a,b).

The effect of phylogeny on the community composition of Malus species

Hierarchical clustering, based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity metrics of the fungal and bacterial community composition, revealed that M. domestica and its progenitor species (M. sieversii, M. prunifolia, and M. orientalis) clustered separately from wild Malus species. The sole exception to this clustering was M. sylvestris which clustered with M. yunnanensis and M. kансuensis in the fungal and bacterial trees, respectively (Fig. 4a,b). This pattern of clustering largely corresponded with the phylogeny of
Fig. 2 Box plots showing fungal (a–c) and bacterial (d–f) richness, Shannon diversity and community composition. The presented species were grouped into three groups from left to right: heirloom and modern cultivars of domesticated apple (*Malus* × *domestica*), wild progenitors (*M. orientalis*, *M. prunifolia*, *M. sieversii*, and *M. sylvestris*), and nonprogenitor *Malus* species (*M. angustifolia*, *M. coronaria*, *M. ioensis*, *M. kansuensis*, *M. prattii*, and *M. yunnanensis*). Superimposed on the box plots are the horizontally jittered raw data points combined for each domestication group. Box plots show the median (horizontal line), the lower and upper bounds of each box plot denote the first and third quartiles, and whiskers above and below the box plot show 1.5 times the interquartile range. The points located outside of the whiskers of the box plot represent the outliers. Ordination plots of fungal (c) and bacterial (f) community composition of *Malus* × *domestica*, wild progenitors and wild *Malus* species, based Bray–Curtis dissimilarity index. Results of the global statistical analyses are reported at the top of each panel and pairwise comparisons for alpha diversity are added onto the box plots.
Malus species (Fig. 4c). The correlation between the evolutionary distance of Malus species and their associated microbial communities was found to be significant for both fungal ($R^2 = 0.471$, $P = 0.024$) and bacterial ($R^2 = 0.465$, $P = 0.035$) communities, based on Procrustes analysis. The correlation between the phylogenetic distance and the core microbiome of each Malus species, however, was not significant for either fungi ($R^2 = 0.5624$, $P = 0.119$) or bacteria ($R^2 = 0.297$, $P = 0.158$).

Estimating the origin of the Malus microbiome

The community-wide Bayesian model estimated that the majority of the fungal community of Malus domestica originated from its progenitor species Malus sieversii (51%), with an equal contribution from Malus sylvestris (7%) and wild Malus angustifolia (7%), followed by Malus prattii (5%), Malus ioensis (5%) and Malus orientalis (5%) (Fig. 5a). The three progenitor species...
**Discussion**

We examined the effects of domestication and species identity on the endophytic microbiome of *Malus*, including wild apple species, apple wild progenitors, and heirloom and modern *M. sieversii* (23%), *M. orientalis* (21%), and *M. sylvestris* (16%) were the main source of the *M. domestica* bacterial community (Fig. 5b). A detailed description of ASVs estimated to have contributed to *M. domestica* from other *Malus* species is presented in Fig. S3.

*Fig. 4* Results of hierarchical clustering based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity distances of the fungal (a) and bacterial (b) community composition using clustering method ‘average’. (c) Shows the phylogenetic tree based on *Malus* ITS gene. *Malus* phylogenetic distance was inferred by using the neighbor-joining tree estimation in R package **PHANGORN**. The leaf color indicates *Malus* groups: green = *Malus × domestica* and its wild progenitors (*M. sieversii*, *M. orientalis*, *M. prunifolia*, and *M. sylvestris*), blue = North American species (*M. angustifolia*, *M. coronaria*, and *M. ioensis*), and red = Asian species (*M. kansuensis*, *M. yunnanensis*, and *M. prattii*). The phylogenic plots were visualized using the *fviz_dend* function in the R package **FACTOEXTRA** v.1.0.7.

*Fig. 5* Treemap charts showing the estimated sources of the fungal (a) and bacterial (b) communities in *Malus domestica*. The estimates were calculated using Bayesian approach as implemented in SourceTracker2 by setting *M. domestica* as the sole sink and all the other *Malus* species (*M. sieversii*, *M. orientalis*, *M. prunifolia*, *M. sylvestris*, *M. kansuensis*, *M. yunnanensis*, *M. angustifolia*, *M. coronaria*, *M. ioensis*, and *M. prattii*) as potential sources. An unknown source was added automatically by the algorithm to allocate taxa in *M. domestica* with low probability to have originated from any of the assigned sources. The fungal and bacterial communities were rarefied to 1500 reads per sample in both the sink and sources.
cultivars of domesticated apple. We demonstrated (1) that the fungal community associated with domesticated apples and their wild progenitors had a higher species richness, diversity, abundance and distinct fungal and bacterial community compared to wild species, (2) strong evidence of phylosymbiosis for both fungal and bacterial communities, and (3) the microbiome of the domesticated apple to be an admixture of its wild progenitors with clear evidence of introgression for the bacterial community.

Impact of domestication on microbial diversity and composition

We observed significantly higher fungal richness, diversity, and abundance in domesticated apple compared to its wild ancestors. While this contrasts with the common hypothesis that domestication reduces microbial diversity (Mutch & Young, 2004; Kiers et al., 2007; Leff et al., 2017; Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2018; Porter & Sachs, 2020; Favela et al., 2021), it is in agreement with some previous reports (Cardinale et al., 2015; Abdullaeva et al., 2020). The increase in fungal diversity indicates that domestication resulted in a greater rate of species gain than loss. However, when considering that beta diversity was mainly explained by species turnover patterns, it is apparent that species gain was accompanied by considerable species turnover. The higher species diversity might be due to an increased niche size or amount of resources in domesticated apple. The increase in the resource availability is supported by the qPCR assays, which showed that domesticated Malus, both heirloom and modern cultivars, had a significantly higher number of microbial cells than their wild relatives. It might be that plant domestication and breeding for desirable traits have indirectly facilitated an increase in microbial population size. This hypothesis fits the evidence that a focus during domestication and breeding on increased yield, fruit size, water and sugar content results in lower levels of defense chemicals and stress resistance (Cornille et al., 2014; Whitehead & Poveda, 2019; Porter & Sachs, 2020). Indeed, wild apples have been shown to contain higher total phenolic concentrations and a higher diversity of metabolites than domesticated apples and are known to be more resistant to major apple diseases (Ballester et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Whitehead & Poveda, 2019; Singh et al., 2021).

The bacterial core microbiome appeared to be relatively stable along the chronosequence of Malus germplasm, i.e. from wild to wild progenitor, and from wild progenitor to domesticated apples. However, the number of fungal core species was significantly higher in M. domestica compared to wild species. Collectively, the core microbiome represented a large fraction of the microbial community of wild, progenitor, and domesticated apple, accounting for two-thirds and almost half of the abundance of the fungal and bacterial communities, respectively. The existence of such core microbiome that spans the Malus phylogeny suggests an evolutionary conservation of the core microbiome and is in agreement with an ecological role across evolutionary boundaries (Yeoh et al., 2017). The mechanism(s) by which such a community is maintained across the Malus phylogeny is difficult to pinpoint without further studies.

Nevertheless, we speculate that continuous transmission of the core microorganisms across generations through vertical transmission, along with positive selection on retention of the core microbiome, represents a plausible explanation (Gundel et al., 2011; Hodgson et al., 2014; Shade et al., 2017; Bergna et al., 2018; Shahzad et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020; Abdelfattah et al., 2021b). Our hypothesis is supported by recent findings including: (1) similar core species were reported in a global survey of the apple fruit microbiome, and (2) the role of microbial inheritance (vertical transmission) is increasingly being recognized to play an essential role in the continuity of the plant microbiome (Saikkonen et al., 2002; Gundel et al., 2011; Hodgson et al., 2014; Shade et al., 2017; Bergna et al., 2018; Shahzad et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020; Abdelfattah et al., 2021a,b).

Evidence of phylosymbiosis

We found a significant correlation between the evolutionary distance of Malus species and their associated fungal and bacterial endophytic communities, which explained 47% of the observed differences. Based solely on the microbial community composition, we were able to distinguish between wild species from North America and Asia. However, the most intriguing result was the clustering of domesticated cultivars with their wild progenitors. These results demonstrate that phylosymbiosis exists in the genus Malus. Previous studies have reported phylosymbiosis between root-associated bacterial communities and diverse groups of plants including lycopods, ferns, gymnosperms, and angiosperms (Bouffaud et al., 2014; Schlaeppi et al., 2014; Vincent et al., 2016), but, as far as we know, no such relationship has been reported for the fungal and bacterial endophytic community of Malus. These findings match the expectation that the genetic makeup of plants, driven by evolution and domestication, shapes the structure of the plant microbiome (Leff et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2020; Spor et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021; Wagner, 2021). Conversely, the correlation between Malus phylogeny and the microbial community composition was not significant when considering the core microbiome. This is in agreement with the notion that rare taxa are important for structuring communities and for distinguishing between closely related plant species (Li et al., 2018; Ramirez et al., 2018; Berg et al., 2020). Rare taxa are also hypothesized to offer a pool of genetic resources that may be activated under the appropriate conditions (Jouset et al., 2017).

The origin of the M. domestica microbiome

The results of the Bayesian approach showed that M. sieversii, the main ancestor of M. domestica, accounted for 51% and 23% of the fungal and bacterial communities of domesticated apple, respectively. These results are in strong agreement with the genetic origin of domesticated apple. Although the microbiome of M. sylvestris clustered separately from the other wild progenitors, the Bayesian model showed it had contributed 16% of the bacterial community of M. domestica. This could be explained by the fact that Sphingomonas and Methylbacterium-Methylorubrum, two highly abundant ASVs in both M. sylvestris...
Evidence of co-evolution

Whether plants and their microbiomes are co-evolving or evolving together is a question that is still under debate (Theis et al., 2016; Limborg & Heeb, 2018). This is mainly because co-evolution, in sensu stricto, is expected to result in reciprocal changes in the involved parties as, for example, in the case of pea-aphid and its endosymbiotic bacteria Buchnera sp., whereby amino acid synthesis occurs through cooperation between host and symbiont (Brundrett, 2002; Russell et al., 2013). Another example, is the evolution of complementary traits between plants and mycorrhizal fungi, where fungi depend on the host plant carbon for energy consumption and hosts providing a more hospitable environment for fungi (Brundrett, 2002; Hoeksema, 2010). However, there is a growing body of literature that considers the microbiome as a superorganism and single unit of selection, in particular within the context of the holobiont framework (Theis et al., 2016; Ravanbakhsh et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2021). When taking this perspective, we think that the patterns of increased diversity with domestication, phyllosymbiosis, and a strongly conserved core microbiome across the Malus phylogeny, together with evidence for concurrent plant and microbiome admixture and introgression, provide support for co-evolution between Malus species and their microbiome during domestication. Yet, we caution that these patterns could also be explained by other, nonmutually exclusive mechanisms. For instance, phyllosymbiosis can readily emerge from a simple ecological filtering process, where a host trait that varies with host phylogeny could act as a filter for preadapted microbes (Theis et al., 2016; Mazel et al., 2018; Beilsmit et al., 2019; Wagner, 2021). In which case, the metacommunity is also expected to play an important role since it represents the species pool of which plants and microorganisms can establish their associations. To determine the underlying (co-)evolutionary processes shaping the current patterns, we suggest that future studies use shotgun metagenomics and/or genome-wide association studies to identify changes in the genetic composition of microbial species during domestication, combined with experiments that identify the functional role of any identified genetic variation.

Conclusion

Several recent initiatives have called for intentional manipulation of the plant microbiome to enhance crop performance and sustainability, especially in the framework of sustainable agroecosystems (Berg, 2009; D’Hondt et al., 2021; Favela et al., 2021; French et al., 2021). The pattern of phyllosymbiosis, and the congruent pattern of plant and microbial admixture, indicates that changes in the plant microbiome can be predicted, and further supports the idea that microbiome-based breeding strategies are feasible (Adam et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020; Favela et al., 2021; Kusstatscher et al., 2021b). Overall, the increase in diversity, phylogenetic correlation, and the estimated origin of the apple microbiome indicate strongly towards microbial introgression events that occurred during Malus domestication and supports co-evolution between plants and their microbiomes.
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